

國立中正大學社會福利學系研究所教學大綱 2021

科目名稱:社會照顧與福利國家

學分數:3

上課時間:每週三 18:10-21:00

上課教室:社科院 346

編號: 3106538

修別:博碩班選修

授課老師:王舒芸

shuyung@gmail.com

預定課程內容

週數	日期	主題	
	2.24		
	03/03	課程簡介	
	03/10	如何做照顧研究(一)	
	03/17	如何做照顧研究(二)	
	03/24		
	03/31	春假	
	04/07	照顧概念	第一部分： 概念、文化與趨勢
	04/14		
	04/21	文化價值、照顧責任、與政策偏好	
	04/28	照顧經驗的性別差異	第二部分： 照顧與性別
	05/05	政策選項：時間權利(父親角色與育嬰假)	
	05/12	照顧商品化	第三部分： 照顧、資本與市場
	05/19	市場與托育	
	05/26	政策選項：現金給付	
	06/02	照顧與種族的交織	第四部份： 照顧、體制、與國家
	06/09	照顧體制	
	06/16	照顧政策的分配效果	
	06/23	照顧研究的未竟事宜—照顧經濟與關懷倫理	

第一部份：概念、文化與趨勢

照顧概念

1. Leira, A., & Saraceno, C. (2002). **Care : Actors, relationships and contexts**. In B. Hobson, J. Lewis & B. Siim (Eds.), *Contested concepts in gender and social politics* (p. 55-83). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
2. Stone, D. (2000). **Caring by the book**. In M. Harrington Meyer (Ed.), *Care work, gender labor and the welfare state* (pp. 89-112). New York: Routledge. (p. 89-111)
3. Daly, M. (2020). **The concept of care: Insights, challenges and research avenues in COVID-19 times**. *Journal of European Social Policy* , 31(1),108-118.
4. Williams, F. (2018). **Care: Intersections of Scales, Inequalities and Crises**. *Current Sociology* 66(4): 547–61. (12)
5. de São José, J . (2016). **What Are We Talking about When We Talk about Care? A Conceptual Review of the Literature**. *Sociologia Problemas e Práticas* 81, 57–74.
6. León, M., C. Ranci and T. Rostgaard (2014). **Pressures towards and within universalism: Conceptualizing change in care policy and discourse**. In Leon, Margarita (Eds.), *The Transformation of Care in European Societies M. León* (p.11-33).Palgrave Macmillan UK.

Suggested reading

- Anttonen, A., & Zechner, M. (2011). **Theorizing care and care work**. *Care, Work and Welfare in Europe*. Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, 15-34. (20 pages)
- Folbre, N. (2008). **Reforming care**. *Politics & Society*, 36(3), 373-387.
- Folbre, N. & Wright, E. O. (2012). **Defining Care**. In Folbre, N (Eds.) *For love and money : Care provision in the United States*. (pp. 1-20). Russell Sage Foundation. (p.1-20)
- Yeandle, S. et al (2017). **Care and caring : Interdisciplinary perspectives on a societal issue of global significance**. *International, Journal of Care and Caring*,1(1),3-25.
- Rummery, K., Fine, M. (2012) **Care: A Critical Review of Theory Policy and Practice**. *Social Policy and Administration*, 46(3), 321–43.

Idea：動機、文化、偏好、責任

1. England, P. , Folbre, N. & Leana, C. (2012). **Motiving Care.** In Folbre, N(Eds.)*For love and money: Care provision in the United States.* (pp.21-39). Russell Sage Foundation. (p.21-37)
2. García-Faroldi, L., V. n. d. Miguel-Luken and L. Ayuso (2017). **Responsibility for Child and Elderly Care: Who Should Cover the Costs ? A Comparison of Baltic and Nordic Countries.** *Social Policy & Administration* ,51(4), 638-658. (計量)
3. Chung, H & Meuleman, B. (2014). **Support for Government intervention in childcare across European countries.** In Leon, Margarita (Eds.). *The Transformation of Care in European Societies M. León* (p.11-33).Palgrave Macmillan UK. (計量) (歐洲公民對政策介入托育的態度)
4. Pfau-Effinger, B (2014). **Explaining Differences in Child care and Women's Employment across six European Gender Arrangements.** In Leon, Margarita (Eds.), *The Transformation of Care in European Societies M. León*(p.11-33).Palgrave Macmillan UK

Suggested reading

- Valarino, I., G. Meil and J. s. R. Garcia (2018). **Family or State Responsibility? Elderly- and Childcare Policy Preferences in Spain** .*International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy* 38(11/12), 1101-1115.
- Pfau-Effinger, B. (2005). **Development paths of care arrangements in the framework of family values and welfare values.** In B. Pfau-Effinger & B. Geissler (Eds.), *Care and social integration in European Societies* (pp. 21-49). Briston, UK: The Policy Press.

第二部份 照顧與性別

1. Hoang, Lan Anh, & Yeoh, Brenda SA. (2011). **Breadwinning Wives and “Left-Behind” Husbands Men and Masculinities in the Vietnamese Transnational Family.** *Gender & Society*, 25(6), 717-739(17).
2. Chesley, Noelle. (2011). **Stay-at-Home Fathers and Breadwinning Mothers Gender, Couple Dynamics, and Social Change.** *Gender & Society*, 25(5), 642-664.
3. Björk, S. (2015). **Doing, Re-doing or Undoing Masculinity? Swedish Men in the Filial Care of Aging Parents.** *NORA-Nordic Journal of Feminist and Gender Research*, 23 (1), 20-35.
4. Hrzenjak, M (2020). **Multiple masculinities in primary caregiving situations : De-gendering care and undoing masculinity.** *Slovene Anthropological Society*, 26(2), 28-50.

Suggested Reading

- Thompson, Jr., E. H (2005). **What's unique about men's caregiving.** In J. J. Kramer and E. H. Thompson, Jr. (Eds), *Men as Caregivers: Theory, Research, and Service Implication* (20-47/22). New York: Springer Publishing Company.

- Stoller, E. P. (2001) **Theoretical perspectives on caregiving men.** In J. J. Kramer and E. H. Thompson, Jr. (Eds), *Men as Caregivers: Theory, Research, and Service Implication.* New York: Springer Publishing Company.

父職角色與育嬰假

1. Brandth, B. & Kvande, E (2016). **Masculinity and fathering alone during parental leave.** *Men and Masculinities*, 21(1),72-90.
2. Moss, P, Koslowski, A & Duvander, Ann-Zofie (2019). **Introduction: Much work still to do.** In Moss, P, Duvander, Ann-Zofie, and Koslowski A. (Eds.), *Parental leave and beyond: Recent international developments, current issues, and future directions* (p.1-21). Bristol: Policy Press at the University of Bristol.
3. Koslowski, A, Duvander, Ann-Zofie, and Moss, P. (2019). **Parental leave and beyond: Recent international developments, current issues, and future directions.** In Moss, P, Duvander, Ann-Zofie, and Koslowski A. (Eds.), *Parental leave and beyond: Recent international developments, current issues, and future directions* (p.353-371). Bristol: Policy Press at the University of Bristol.
4. Bloksgaard, L. (2014). **Negotiating leave in the workplace : leave practices and masculinity constructions among Danish fathers.** In G. B. Eydal, & T. Rostgaard (Eds.), *Fatherhood in the Nordic welfare states—comparing care policies and practice*, (p.141-161). Bristol: Policy Press at the University of Bristol.
5. Brandth, B., & Kvande, E. (2015). **Parental leave and classed fathering practices in Norway.** In G. B. Eydal, & T. Rostgaard (Eds.), *Fatherhood in the Nordic welfare states: Comparing care policies and practices*,(p.121-140). Bristol: Policy Press at the University of Bristol.

Suggested Reading

- Salmi, M., & Lammi-Taskula, J. (2014). **Policy goals and obstacles for fathers' parental leave in Finland.** In G. B. Eydal, & T. Rostgaard (Eds.), *Fatherhood in the Nordic Welfare States, Comparing Care Policies and Practices*(p.303-324/18). Bristol: Policy Press at the University of Bristol.
- Orloff, A. S., & Monson, R. (2002). **Citizens, workers or fathers? Men in the history of US social policy.** In Hobson, B. (Eds.), *Making men into fathers: Men, masculinities, and the social politics of fatherhood*(p.61-91). Cambridge University Press.
- Bergman, H., & Hobson, B. (2002). **Compulsory fatherhood: the coding of fatherhood in the Swedish welfare state.** In Hobson, B. (Eds.) *Making men into fathers: Men, masculinities and the social politics of fatherhood*(p.92-124). Cambridge University Press.

第三部份：照顧、資本與市場

照顧商品化 / 市場化

1. Knijn, T., & Ostner, I. (2002). **Commodification and de-commodification**. In B. Hobson, J. Lewis & B. Siim (Eds.), *Contested concepts in gender and social politics* (p. 141-170/24). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
2. Knijn, T. (2000). **Marketization and the Struggling logics of Home care in the Netherlands**. In M. H. Meyer (Ed.), *Care Work: Gender, labor and the welfare state* (p. 232-248/17). New York: Routledge.
3. Anttonen, A. and O. Karsio (2017). **How marketisation is changing the Nordic model of care for older people**. In Martinelli, F., Anttonen, A. & Mätzke, M. (Eds.), *Social Services Disrupted : Changes, Challenges and Policy Implications for Europe in Times of Austerity* (219-238). Cheltenham, Edward Elgar.
4. Bihan, B. L., B. D. Roit and A. Sopadzhian (2019). **The turn to optional familialism through the market: Long-term care, cash-for-care, and caregiving policies in Europe**. *Social Policy & Administration*, 53(4): 579-595.

托育市場與政府介入

1. Paull, G. (2014). **Can government intervention in childcare be justified?**. *economic affairs*, 34(1), 14-34 /15.
2. Penn, H. (2013). **Childcare markets: Do they work?**. *Childcare markets. Can they deliver an equitable service*, 15(1), 19-42 /16.

Suggested Reading

- Cancian, F. M. (2000). **Paid emotional care: Organizational forms that encourage nurturance**. In M. Harrington Meyer (Ed.), *Care work, gender labor and the welfare state* (pp. 136-149). New York: Routledge. (17 pages)
- Kröger, Teppo. (2011). **Under Tension: Formal Care Work with Older People**. In B. Pfau-Effinger & T. Rostgaard (Eds.), *Care between work and welfare in European societies* (pp. 115-128): Palgrave Macmillan. (14 pages)
- Dodson, Lisa, & Zinbarg, Rebekah M. (2007). **"It's Like a Family" Caring Labor, Exploitation, and Race in Nursing Homes**. *Gender & Society*, 21(6), 905-928. (23 pages)

Cash and Care

1. Da Roit, Barbara, & Le Bihan, Blanche. (2010). **Similar and Yet So Different: Cash-for-Care in Six European Countries' Long-Term Care Policies.** *Milbank Quarterly*, 88(3), 286-309. (24 pages)
2. Jorma Sipilä, Katja Repo, Tapio Rissanen, & Niina, Viitasalo. (2010). **Cash-for-childcare: unnecessary traditionalism or a contemporary necessity?** In J. Sipilä, K. Repo & T. Rissanen (Eds.), *Cash for childcare: the consequences for caring mothers* (pp. 21-45): Edward Elgar Publishing. (25 pages)
3. Katja Repo, Jorma Sipilä, Tapio Rissanen, & Niina, Viitasalo. (2010). **The paradox of cash-for-childcare: are there ways to solve the dilemma?** In Jorma Sipilä, Katja Repo & Tapio Rissanen (Eds.), *Cash for childcare: the consequences for caring mothers* (pp. 143-159): Edward Elgar Publishing. (17 pages)
4. Rantalaiho, M. (2010). **Rationalities of cash-for-childcare: the Nordic case.** In Sipilä, J., Katja Repo, K. & Rissanen, T.(Eds.)*Cash-for-Childcare. The consequences for Caring Mothers*(p.109-142/25).Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
5. Ungerson, C. (2000). **Cash in care.** In M. Harrington Meyer (Ed.), *Care work, gender labor and the welfare state* (p. 68-89/20). New York: Routledge.
6. Glendinning, C. (2006). **Paying family caregivers : Evaluating different models.** In C. Glendinning & P. A. Kemt (Eds.), *Cash and Care: Policy challenges in the welfare state* (p. 127-141/13). Bristol, UK: The Policy