

國立中正大學戰略暨國家安全碩士在職專班

115 學年度第一學期教學大綱與進度表

國際關係概論

科目名稱：國際關係概論

英文名稱：Introduction of International Relations

學分數：3

課目屬性：必修

授課時間：(六) 09:10~12:00

教師：宋學文、邱昭憲

上課地點：社科院二館 606 教室

壹、課程目標

本課程之授課範圍包括若干國際關係之基礎理論，如現實主義、新現實主義、自由主義、新自由主義及建構主義等授課主題。本課程乃希望藉由國際關係基礎理論的介紹，提供同學在未來之課業研究上，能藉由理論之思路引導，增進同學於面臨不同議題時對問題的分析與解決能力，並強化學生在學理與實務上之研究分析能力。

除了理論之外，本課程亦重視目前國際情勢或政治分析的介紹，因此本課程為基礎理論紮根之課程，故課程安排將依學生吸收程度做調整。在實務面，我們將介紹一些與台灣較有相關之議題，如兩岸關係、美-中-日-台四邊關係、印太戰略、美中新冷戰...等作為個案研究，以進一步與實務理論結合或驗證，課程中亦會邀請知名學者專家或實務界人士以專題講座之方式，以提昇同學之多元學習效能。

貳、評分方式

本課程平時由學生分組報告，請同學以 4~5 人為一組，報告內容應以課程大綱中打「*」之教材為主，並可參考其餘教材輔助。學期評分將依報告內容、理解程度與表達以組為單位計分。本學期成績計算方式：平常出席、報告、參與討論等及期中報告 50%、個人期末報告 50%。

參、上課進度

下列進度每週報告以一篇為基礎(文章前若有兩個*字號，該篇文章為指定報告之文章。)但同學若有興趣，可在指定文章外選擇有一個*字號文章做報告。每週分組報告與期中報告需以 PPT 方式上台呈現，期末報告則以書面繳交即可。

第一週（09/12）為什麼要學習國際關係理論？

蔡政文，2011，「國際關係理論與當前台灣國際關係應有的研究方向」，載於包宗和主編，國際關係理論**，台北：五南，頁 1-26。

*Kenneth Waltz, 1979, *Theory of International Politics*, NY: Addison-Wesley, Ch.1, “Laws and Theories,” pp.1-17; Ch.2, “Reductionist Theories,” pp.18-37.

Alexander L. George, 1993, *Bridging the Gap: Theory and Practice in Foreign Policy*, Washington, D.C.: United States Institute of Peace, Ch.2, “The Role of Knowledge in Policymaking,” pp.19-30.

Alexander L. George, 1993, *Bridging the Gap: Theory and Practice in Foreign Policy*, Washington, D.C.: United States Institute of Peace, Ch.9, “Contemporary International Relations Theory,” pp.107-114.

Hedley Bull, 1966, “International Theory: The Case for a Classical Approach,” *World Politics*, Vol.18, No.3, pp. 361-377.

Jack S. Levy, 2001, “Explaining Events and Developing Theories: History, Political Science, and the Analysis of International Relations,” in Colin and Miriam Elman, eds, *Bridges and Boundaries: Historians, Political Scientists, and the Study of International Relations*, pp. 39-83.

Martin Hollis and Steve Smith, 1990, *Explaining and Understanding International Relations*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, Chapter 2. “The Growth of Discipline,” pp.16-44.

Stanley Hoffmann, 1977, “An American Social Science: International Relations,” *Daedalus*, Vol.106, No.54, pp.41-60.

Stanley Hoffmann, 1987, *Janus and Minerva: Essays in the Theories and Practice of International Politics*, Boulder CO: Westview Press, Chapter 1.

Steve Smith, Tim Dunne, Milja Kurki, and Steve Smith(eds.), 2007, ”Introduction: Diversity and Disciplinarity in International Relations Theory,” *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*, Oxford: Oxford Press, pp. 1-12.

William Olson and Nicholas Onuf, 1985, “Growth of A Discipline” in Steve Smith ed. *International Relations*, New York: Basil Blackwell, pp1-28.

倪世雄著，包宗和校，2010，**當代國際關係理論（第二版）**，台北：五南，第一章，「緒論」，頁 1-24。陳欣之，2003，「國際關係學的發展」，載於張亞中主編，**國際關係總論**，台北：揚智，頁 1-38。

楊永明，2010，**國際關係**，台北：前程文化，第 1 章，「國家與國際體系」，頁 17-36。

楊永明，2010，**國際關係**，台北：前程文化，第 3 章，「國際關係理論」，頁 60-88。

彭懷恩，2013，**國際關係 Q&A**，台北：風雲論壇，第一章，「國際關係導論」，頁 11~37。

第二週（09/19）傳統現實主義

明居正，「古典現實主義的反思」，國際關係理論**（台北，五南，2011），頁 27-48。

*Hans Morgenthau, *Politics among Nations: the struggle for power and peace* (University of Virginia, 1948), Ch1.

Andrew Hurrell, “Hegemony, liberalism and global order: what space for would-be great powers?,” *International Affairs*, Vol. 82, No. 1(Jan 2006), pp.1-19.

John G. Ikenberry, “America’s Liberal Hegemony,” *Current History* Vol. 98 (1999), pp. 23-28.

- Joseph S. Nye Jr. 2002. *The Paradox of American Power: Why the world's only superpower can't go it alone* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2002), pp. 1-40.
- Joseph S. Nye, Jr, "American Strategy after Bipolarity", *International Affairs* (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-), Vol. 66, No. 3. (Jul., 1990), pp. 513-521.
- Robert O. Keohane, "The Theory of Hegemonic Stability and Changes in International Economic Regimes, 1967-1977," *International Institutions and State Power: Essays in International Relations Theory* (Boulder: Westview Press, 1989), pp. 74-100.
- 吳釗燮，「主導性霸權的困境九一一後國際局勢與美中台關係演變」，*東吳政治學報*，28 卷 01 期 (2010)，頁 1-32。宋學文、黃子哲，「從東帝汶獨立運動探討美國的干預主義」，*國際關係理論、政策與治理* (台北，巨流，2009)，頁 75-111。

第三週 (09/26) 中秋節停課

第四週 (10/03) 新現實主義

- **Kenneth N. Waltz, 1979, *Theory of International Politics*, New York, NY: Addison-Wesley, Ch.3, "Systemic Approaches and Theories," pp.38-59; Ch.4, "Reductionist and Systemic Theories," pp.60-78.
- 陳欣之，「霸權治理的省思：權力消長與權威起伏」，*問題與研究*，49 卷 1 期 (2010 年 3 月)，頁 59-85。
- Charles Glaser, "Realists as Optimists: Cooperation as Self-Help," *Security Studies*, vol. 5, Spring 1996, pp. 122-66.
- John J. Mearsheimer, Tim Dunne, Milja Kurki, and Steve Smith(eds.), 2007, "Structural Realism," *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*, Oxford: Oxford Press, pp. 71-86.
- Kenneth N. Waltz, 1979, *Theory of International Politics*, New York, NY: Addison-Wesley, Ch.5, "Political Structures," pp.60-78; Ch.6, "Anarchic Orders and Balances of Power," pp.102-128.
- Kenneth N. Waltz, *Man, the State, and War*, New York, NY: Columbia University Press 2001, Chapter 1. "Introduction," pp.1-15.
- Kenneth N. Waltz, 2001, "Structural Realism after the Cold War," *International Security*, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 5-41.
- Michael Mastanduno, David Lake, and John Ikenberry, "Toward a Realist Theory of State Action," *International Studies Quarterly*, vol. 33, December 1989, pp. 457-74.
- Randall Schweller, "Neorealism's Status Quo Bias: What Security Dilemma?" *Security Studies*, vol. 5, Spring 1996, pp. 90-121.
- 王元綱，2003，「樂觀的現實主義：國際關係守勢現實主義之評析」，*國際關係學報*，第 18 期，41-57。
- 包宗和，2011，「結構現實主義的論點、辯述與反思」，載於包宗和主編，*國際關係理論*，台北：五南，頁 49-68。

第五週 (10/10) 國慶日不停課，課程討論與複習

第六週 (10/17) 新自由制度主義

*宋學文，「自新由制度主義之過去、現在與未來」，載於包宗和主編，*國際關係理論*，台北：五南，頁 139-173。

Acharya, Amitav (2004), "How Ideas Spread: Whose Norms Matter? Norm Localization and Institutional Change in Asian Regionalism," *International organization*, 54:3, 401-419.

Busch, Marc L. (2008), "Overlapping Institutions, Forum Shopping and Dispute Settlement in International Trade," *International Organization*, 62:4, 735-761.

Elaine Hartwick and Richard Peet, 2003, "Neoliberalism and the Nature: The Case of the WTO," *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 590, No. 1, pp. 188-211.

John Ruggie, 1986, "Continuity and Transformation in the World Polity: Toward a Neorealist Synthesis," in Robert Keohane ed. *Neorealism and Its Critics*, New York: Columbia University Press, pp. 131-157.

Joseph M. Grieco, 1993, "Understanding the Problem of International Cooperation: The Limits of Neoliberal Institutionalism and the Future of Realist Theory," in David A. Baldwin, ed., *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate*, New York: Columbia University Press, pp. 302-312.

Judith L. Goldstein, Douglas Rivers and Michael Tomz, 2007, "Institutions in International Relations: Understanding the Effects of the GATT and the WTO on World Trade," *International Organization*, 61: 1, 37-67.

Kenneth W. Abbott, Robert O. Keohane, Andrew Moravcsik, Anne-Marie Slaughter and Duncan Snidal, 2000, "The Concept of Legalization," *International Organization*, Vol.54, No. 3, pp.401-419.

Lisa L. Martin, Tim Dunne, Milja Kurki, and Steve Smith(eds.), 2007, "Neoliberalism," *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*, Oxford: Oxford Press, pp. 109-124.

Nye, J. S., Jr. and R. O. Keohane, 1971, "Transnational Relations and World Politics: An Introduction." *International Organization* Vol.25, No.3, pp. 329-349.

Robert Keohane, 1984, *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy* (Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press) , pp. 5-46.

Robert O. Keohane, "Neoliberal Institutionalism: A Perspective on World Politics," *International Institutions and State Power: Essays in International Relations Theory* (Boulder: Westview Press, 1989). pp. 1-20.

Robert O. Keohane and Lisa L. Martin, "The Promise of Institutional Theory," in Michael E. Brown, Owen R. Coté, Jr., Sean M. Lynn-Jones and Steven E. Miller eds., *Theories of War and Peace* (Cambridge: The MIT Press, 1998), pp. 384-396.

Robert ,O. Keohane, 1975, "International Organization and the Crisis of Interdependence." *International Organization* Vol.29, No.2, pp.357-365. Shashi Tharoor, 2003, "Why America Still Needs the United Nations," *Foreign Affairs*, Vol. 82, No. 5, pp.67-80.

宋學文、黃子哲，2002，「從東帝汶獨立運動探討美國的干預主義」，**問題與研究**，第 45 卷第 3 期，頁 83-108。宋學文，2003，「從國際建制與美國自由 i 探討全球治理：台灣安全的觀點」，第十六屆中山學術與國家發展研討會（2003 年 3 月 21 日），國立中山大學中山學術研究所主辦，高雄：中山大學。

秦亞青，1998，「國際制度與國際合作—反思自由制度主義」，**外交學院學報**，第 1 期，頁 40-47。

鄭端耀，1997，「國際關係『新自由制度主義』理論之評析」，**問題與研究**，第 36 卷第 12 期，頁 1-22。

第七週（10/24）建構主義

秦亞青，「建構主義：思想淵源、理論流派與學術理念」，國際政治研究**，第 3 期（2006），頁 1-23。

*Alexander E. Wendt, "The Agent-Structure Problem in International Relation Theory," *International Organizations*, Vol. 41, No. 3 (1987), pp. 335-370.

Alexander E. Wendt, "Anarchy is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics," *International Organizations*, Vol. 46, No. 2 (1992), pp. 391-425.

Alexander E. Wendt, "Levels of Analysis vs. Agents and Structures," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 2 (1992), pp. 181-185.

Alexander E. Wendt, *Social Theory of International Politics* (UK: Cambridge University Press, 1999).

Barry Buzan, "Series editor's Preface," in Stefano Guzzini and Anna Leander eds, *Constructivism and International Relations: Wendt and his Critics* (London: Routledge, 2006), pp. xv~xvi.

Christian Reus-Smit, "Constructivism," in Scott Burchill, et al., *Theories of International Relations* (Basingstoke, Palgrave, 2005), pp. 188-212.

Emanuel Adler, "Constructivism and International Relations," in Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse, and Beth A. Simmons eds., *Handbook of International Relations* (London: Sage Publications Ltd., 2002), pp. 95-118.

Ian Hurd, "Constructivism," in Christian Reus-Smit and Duncan Snidal eds., *The Oxford Handbook of International Relations* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006), pp. 298-316.

Martin Finnemore, "Constructing Norms of Humanitarian Intervention," in Peter J. Katzenstein, ed., *The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1996), pp.153-185.

莫大華，「國際關係建構主義的原型、分類與爭論：以 Onuf、Kratowil 和 Wendt 的觀點分析」，**問題與研究**，第 41 卷，第 5 期（2002），頁 111-148。莫大華，「探索國際關係理論的建橋計畫：理性主義與建構主義的理論綜合」，**政治科學論叢**，第 31 期（2007），頁 175-215。

第八週（10/31）課程複習與討論